

**TN-502 Knoxville Knox County Continuum of Care
2022 CoC Application Scoring and Rating Criteria**

The Knoxville Knox County Continuum of Care seeks to provide a coordinated, inclusive and outcome-oriented community process for the solicitation, objective review, ranking and selection of project applications for inclusion with our CoC Collaborative Application package we submit to the US Department of Housing and Urban Development.

To score and then rank Continuum of Care project applications, the CoC Ranking and Review panel will use information from the Project Applicant Questionnaire, as well as relevant information from other sources, including but not limited to KnoxHMIS, the Coordinated Entry System, HUD LOCCS, CoC Project Applications, SAGE, and Agency Annual Performance Reviews. The Ranking and Review panel will use these scoring factors as well as HUD's CoC rules, regulations and objectives as well as local objectives to make a final determination of the acceptance and rank-order of applications we submit in our Collaborative Application. (Note: Because HMIS is a central CoC activity and is functionally different than direct housing or service projects, its scoring rubric must be somewhat altered from the others to capture its information, and is available in a separate document.)

Agency:
Project Name:
Name, phone, email of contact:

Threshold Questions for New Projects		
<i>Renewal projects</i> are considered as having met these requirements through previously approved grant applications, unless information to the contrary is received.	Yes	No
Is the applicant seeking funding for an eligible project category?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the project meet the threshold requirements for the applicable project category in sections V.C.3.b. and V.C.3.c. of the 2021 HUD CoC NOFO?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

1. Project Administration and Quality Check (10 points total):
<input type="checkbox"/> Renewal Project APRs, Monitoring, Audits and Spending <input type="checkbox"/> New Project Timelines and Audits
Section 1 points: _____ /10
2. Objective Criteria (50 points total):
Applicant Experience (10 points):
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant demonstrates experience with population to be served <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant demonstrates experience with proposed programming type <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant demonstrates experience with operating and managing a federal grant
Commitment to Housing First (10 points):
<input type="checkbox"/> Project is designed and implemented using low-barrier principles, including no preconditions to entry or participation except as required by funding sources
Cost Effectiveness and Match Funding (10 points):
<input type="checkbox"/> Project is cost effective as compared to similar programs <input type="checkbox"/> Match is appropriate for project type and supports eligible activities <input type="checkbox"/> Application budget is reasonable, allocable and allowable
System Performance Measures (20 points):
<p><i>The extent to which a project furthers CoC-wide strategies to improve performance measures, including at least two of the following:</i></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Reducing the number of homeless individuals and families <input type="checkbox"/> Reduction in the number of first time homeless <input type="checkbox"/> Length of time homeless <input type="checkbox"/> Successful PH placement or retention <input type="checkbox"/> Reduction of returns to homelessness <input type="checkbox"/> Jobs and income growth
Section 2 points: _____ /50
3. Rapid Return to Permanent Housing and Severity of Barriers Experienced by Program Participants (15 points total):
<p><i>The ranking and review process involves comparison of different programs that serve different types of individuals and families who are in a variety of different situations. These things can affect the extent to which a given project is able to address the objective system performance measures noted above.</i></p>
<p>Potential client service and housing barriers to achieving system performance measure goals may include:</p> <input type="checkbox"/> Substance Abuse <input type="checkbox"/> Mental Illness <input type="checkbox"/> Criminal History <input type="checkbox"/> Chronic Homelessness <input type="checkbox"/> Unsheltered Homelessness <input type="checkbox"/> History of domestic violence <input type="checkbox"/> Service Resistance <input type="checkbox"/> Veteran Status <input type="checkbox"/> Income and Employment Status <input type="checkbox"/> Covid-19 pandemic <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please explain):

<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant offers a clear explanation regarding how the severity of barriers experienced by project clients may affect the project's ability to achieve certain system performance measure objectives.	
Section 3 points: ____ /15	
4. Promoting Racial, Ethnic, Gender Experiential Equity (10 points total):	
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant offers a clear explanation regarding barriers they have identified to reaching persons of different races, ethnicities or gender orientation.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant clearly describes what steps they have taken or plan to take to eliminate those identified barriers.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant has reasonable and adequate input from people with lived experience on their agency board and for program design and operation.	
Section 4 points: ____ /10	
5. Community Collaboration (15 points total):	
<input type="checkbox"/> Participation in HMIS	
<input type="checkbox"/> Participation in the Coordinated Entry System	
<input type="checkbox"/> Participation in the Homeless Coalition/CoC Organizational Body	
<input type="checkbox"/> Participation in the Mayor's Roundtable on Homelessness	
Section 5 points: ____ /15	
TOTAL POINTS: ____ /100	

Additional Comments: